CONTENT OF CHATROOM – NBO Meeting –
BOARD MEETING

[10:05] John_Curran: can someone ftp some fresh kenyan coffee this way? ;-)

[10:05] Michele_Ney: morning girls and boys

[10:06] Jorge_Amodi: morning Michele

[10:06] Michele_Ney: hola Jorge, como andas?

[10:06] Jorge_Amodi: muy bien, mas despierto que ayer :-)

[10:06] Michele_Ney: jeje :)

[10:10] Michele_Ney: need more coffee ..

[10:10]   McTim: Hi John, I've just updated JAVA, so maybe that will be better than ftp ;-))

[10:11] John_Curran: :-)

[10:11] John_Curran: *poof*

[10:16]   McTim: John, has the ASO mtg been rescheduled? Any remote participation possible?

[10:17]   Tina_Dam: suz/cory - audio good from here

[10:17] Bob_Connell: Good here too, Nevada.

[10:19]   Mike: OK here in San Francisco, California

[10:20] Michele_Ney: am I meant to be getting piano music?

[10:21]   Tina_Dam: michele - yes we are on a break

[10:23] Michele_Ney: Tina - thought so :) Just had odd issues the other day where I got the wrong audio stream

[10:25]   Tina_Dam: :)

[10:25] Jothan_Frak: OK here in Reston

[10:27] Jorge_Amodi: liftoff

[10:27]   Mike: LOL

[10:27] Jorge_Amodi: we just launched Rita to install the first node of the intergallactical internet

[10:28] jeff_neuman: Hey Reston gang, how is it there this morning

[10:28] Jorge_Amodi: go Yankees

[10:34] Michele_Ney: How many people are in the room in Nairobi?

[10:35] jeff_neuman: any audio yet?

[10:36] Michele_Ney: Jeff - music ..

[10:37] Michele_Ney: and a buzzing hissing type of noise

[10:38]   Tim_Cole: just started - can you hear?

[10:38] Michele_Ney: Tim - yes

[10:38]   Tim_Cole: good

[10:40]   Olga_2: I lost audio and video

[10:40]   McTim17139: Can I repeat my previous request to have the camera pan to speakers? Thanks!

[10:41] Jothan_Frak: Audio good in RESTON

[10:42] Michele_Ney: Jothan - how many are left in Reston?

[10:42] Jothan_Frak: Let me count

[10:43] Jothan_Frak: 1

[10:43]   McTim17139: LOL

[10:43] Jothan_Frak: there's about 20-25 still here but I am solo in room at moment

[10:43] Cory_Schrut: Camera will pan to the speakers as quickly as possible. Thanks

[10:43] Jothan_Frak: I can safely say that everyone in Reston is pro-EoI, though, that's the upside

[10:44] Jothan_Frak: in the room at least

[10:46]   Kristina: @Jothan: that's because I'm not there . . . .

[10:47] Jothan_Frak: You're not pro-EoI?

[10:48]   Kristina: Not in its proposed form. But you knew that.

[10:49]   McTim17139: Neither am I, it is absurd that, inter alia, we in the devloping world have to pay 55k USD just to "put our hand up" for a string, with no guarantee that we will get it!

[10:50] Jorge_Amodi: and the most weird thing is that the totality of the Reston participants at this time are in disagreement with themselves

[10:50] Bruce_Tonin: Next Board motion will be on the EOI

[10:50] Bruce_Tonin: Prepare yourselves

[10:52] Jorge_Amodi: yes there is a microphone with very poor ground there

[10:53] Jothan_Frak: @kristina, I've grown to know you're bright and not always entrenched

[10:53] Bruce_Tonin: Here goes

[10:53] jeff_neuman: can we see the whole motion at once?

[10:54] Jothan_Frak: jeff click on the full screen button

[10:54] Jorge_Amodi: now is when we get the power outage

[10:54] Jorge_Amodi: are you tempted to move the mouse remotely ?

[10:54] Jorge_Amodi: I clicked on the scroll bar many times already :-)

[10:55] jeff_neuman: Would have been nice to hear the full board debate as opposed to being told the debate was good

[10:55] Michele_Ney: where was the debate?

[10:55] Jorge_Amodi: you love dirty laundry

[10:55]   McTim17139: Jorge, that is why there is a separate generator to the one from KICC

[10:56] Jorge_Amodi: how many whereas you need for a negative resolution and how many for a postive one ?

[10:56] Michele_Ney: lol

[10:56] Jorge_Amodi: here it comes

[10:56] Jorge_Amodi: wow

[10:56] Bruce_Tonin: I have sent the draft motion ia email to Kristina, Jothan and Jeff - if ou hae the abiloity to project in Reston

[10:57]   Kristina: well, knock me over with a feather.

[11:00] Constantine: and the timeline is?

[11:00] Jorge_Amodi: 2012-2013

[11:01] Jorge_Amodi: there are many cities still left we have to visit ...

[11:01] Constantine: the Cyprus problem could be solved by then. Long time

[11:04] Bruce_Tonin: Yes - Kristina despite soe assertions the Board listens carefully to all te views in the community and doesn't take these considerations lightly

[11:04] Bruce_Tonin: No decisions are pre-detrmined before the pulic meetings

[11:05] Khaled_Fatt: frozen picture and lost audio

[11:05] Bruce_Tonin: Here is another major moton on eritical integration - lisen carefully

[11:06]   McTim: I lost audio, what was EoI result?

[11:07] Bruce_Tonin: The EOI was rejected

[11:07] Constantine: So was vertical integration?

[11:07]   Kristina: Wow. I'm speechless.

[11:07] Constantine: WOW

[11:07]   Kristina: and that doesn't happen often.

[11:07]   McTim: cool, am pleased (am rarely speechless)

[11:08]   Kristina: OK. Done being speechless. Does "no" mean literally zero?

[11:09] Constantine: ICANN = ZERO innovation

[11:09] James_Blade: How does this affect existing registrar investments in Afilias?

[11:09] Jothan_Frak: sounds like NO and then re-introduction

[11:09]   McTim: means another process, probably one with categories of new gTLDs?

[11:09] Michele_Ney: James - don't think it does

[11:09] Constantine: Afilias buys .mobi, Verisign buys .tv

[11:09] James_Blade: I would presume so, Michele.

[11:10] Michele_Ney: James - I'd need to read the text properly,but I thought it was applicable to new TLDs only

[11:10] James_Blade: Is this really a big deal, considering there's a PDP underway...?

[11:10]   Ron: Effectively this is a punt to the GNSO Working Group, ie a back door has been left open

[11:10] James_Blade: (as of Wednesay)

[11:10] Michele_Ney: James - that was the entire thing

[11:11] James_Blade: Was there a vote? Did I mess i/

[11:11] Bruce_Tonin: The Board has decided that there will be no co-ownership between registries and registrars for new gTLDs.

[11:11] James_Blade: ...miss it?

[11:11] Bruce_Tonin: THis is more onerous than many of the existing gTLD cotnracts.

[11:12] Bruce_Tonin: Not ethat the GNSO has commenced a policy deveopment process on this topic, and those unhappy with the decision shoudl focus there efforts there

[11:12] Michele_Ney: Bruce - I suspect that WG will be quite messy

[11:13] Michele_Ney: I suspect I'll be eating lots of popcorn on conference calls

[11:13]   Mike: did I miss the discusion on .xxx?

[11:13] James_Blade: Not yet mike.

[1:13] Michele_Ney: Mike - hasn't happended yet

[11:14]   Mike: any idea how much longer? it's past midnight here...lol

[11:14] James_Blade: Where's "here?"

[11:14]   Mike: San Francisco, California USA

[11:14] James_Blade: Mike = Mike R.?

[11:15]   Mike: no...

[11:15] Bruce_Tonin: The Board has approved he concept of the Traemark clearing House and URS - subject to further revisions following public comment

[11:15]   Kristina: From my perspective, I'm very pleased by the outcomes and the process.

[11:15] rhett_pardo: .xxx is coming up

[11:16] Constantine: big corporations always win. Good work ICANN

[11:16]   Ron: That's good to hear, K. I know that you were very involved in this effort

[11:16] jeff_neuman: Kristina - Me 2. I can go bakc to doing my day job now :)

[11:16] Bruce_Tonin: Glad to hear that Kritina

[11:16] mib_mymaw5: is there a way to watch the meeting live?

[11:16] jeff_neuman: I enjoyed working with both the IRT and STI

[11:16] James_Blade: Kristina: Naturally, one side would be. :)

[11:16] Michele_Ney: pity that adobe chat doesn't have an /ignore switch

[11:16] James_Blade: But we don't really have a dog in these fights....

[11:16]   Ron: Kudos to you too Jeff

[11:18]   Kristina: @Jeff: great news for you.

[11:19] jeff_neuman: thanks - It is the right outcome.

[11:22] Eric_Brunne: i can't recally any advocacy, in the web event prior to seoul, or at seoul, or at the dc consultation, for a change from the 15% cap in registry ownership in a registrar, to a 0% cap in registry ownership. where did this change come from? and yes, there's a pdp in the gnso, but 15->0 is a non-trivial change, preventing 7 registries from creating a registrar if they can find no other.

[11:24] Bruce_Tonin: Post-delegation dispute mechansims have been approved - subject to ironing out the detailes of implementation

[11:25] Constantine: .music in the language of Chinese, Korean and Japanese is 2 characters

[11:30] Eric_Brunne: see the discussion in dnsext on the limitations of dname, and the proposals for a bname mechanism, and for a zone clone mechanism, as new work for the dnsext wg, from our interim meeting two weeks ago, and on the anaheim ietf dnsext wg agenda.

[11:30]   Tina_Dam: EBW - yes we are following that as well

[11:31] Eric_Brunne: i know you are tina

[11:31]   Tina_Dam: but there are so many opinions on dname used for IDNs/variants that we feel the need to formally finalize the study

[11:31]   Tina_Dam: but bname is interesting and a few other proposals on the table

[11:32]   Tina_Dam: prob relevant to look at them all togther

[11:32]   Tina_Dam: EBW - so i see you in anaheim?

[11:33] Eric_Brunne: just don't rush, dname isn't sufficient. nope. i go the other way, to dortmund for core's technical meeting.
[11:33]   Tina_Dam: ok
[11:34] Bruce_Tonin: Resolution on Communications plan for new gTLDs - leveraging the networks within groups such as ALAC, GAC, and registrars
[11:34] jeff_neuman: So does 4 month period begin in Brussels or when overarching issues are finalized?
[11:35] Michele_Ney: Bruce - who is in charge of this??
[11:35] Bruce_Tonin: So far the idea is tha thte 4 month period begins once the issues are finalised to the satisfaction of the Board
[11:35] Constantine: this is unclear
[11:36] Bruce_Tonin: Dates around hte plan are not locked in, as resolving the issues is partly dependent on getting community support
[11:37] Bruce_Tonin: Not entirely sure which staff are respoonsible for the different compoennts. Certainly Karla Valente is invovle din the new gTLD communication plan, but there are other new staff memebrs with responsibilities around communication
[11:37]   Ram_Mohan: 2 important resolutions on IDN ccTLDs
[11:38] Karla_Valen: Hi Bruce, I confirm I am responsible for the overall communications strategy related to new gTLDs and I would with other staff members and community.
[11:39] Karla_Valen: I am looking forward to continue working with the community to increase communications awareness.
[11:39] Michele_Ney: Karla - I just emailed you
[11:40] Karla_Valen: we had a great experience working with community members in London, Hong Kong, Brazil, Argentina, etc.
[11:40] Karla_Valen: We plan on investing on webinars in multiple languages/timezones.
[11:41]   Jon_Nevett: so ICANN is asking the registrars to advertise the opportunity to apply for a New TLD, but won't let them apply themselves?
[11:41] Karla_Valen: Hi Michele - I got your e-mail. thank you
[11:42] Michele_Ney: Jon - yes, though we still have the PDP
[11:44] Michele_Ney: Jon - my read on it was that the board put down a marker but will gladly change the policy if the GNSO can come up with a consensus policy
[11:45] Eric_Brunne: i missed the membership of the es-wg
[11:45] Eric_Brunne: harald & ram and ...
[11:45]   Tina_Dam: suz
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: dennis
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: harald
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: rod
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: rita
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: steve crocker
[11:46] Eric_Brunne: oh, the preior wg
[11:46]   Tina_Dam: yes
[11:47]   Tina_Dam: thomas narten, jean Jacques

[11:54] Eric_Brunne: sc/tc, not upper case and lower case

[11:54] Bruce_Tonin: Board is approving a working groujp and a set of principles for handling IDN TLDs with variants where theintent is that two names in different variant TLDs resolve to the same location

[11:54] Bruce_Tonin: There are a tight set of requirements for approving such a situation

[11:54]   Tina_Dam: ebw - sc/tc is sometimes referred to be comparable to tht - by individuals in that region

[11:55] jannik_skou: @tina: would Danish Ã¸ be interpreted as a "variant" of "oe" (or vice versa)? Or is it only applicable to languages with ikon characters?

[11:55]   Tina_Dam: jnnik - it would be if you always could interchnage the two....which in my understanding of the danish language you cant

[11:56] jannik_skou: correct (sadly)

[11:56] jannik_skou: thx

[11:56] Eric_Brunne: it is an analogy that casefull accustomed non-specialists can grasp, it has the unfortunate implication that sc/tc is a one-to-one correspondence as in modern latin there are no remaining caseless characters

[11:57]   Tina_Dam: right

[11:57] jannik_skou: poetisk vs pÃ¸tisk ;-)

[11:58]   Tina_Dam: :) thats some example

[11:59] Eric_Brunne: actually the danish question raises a policy issue -- the idn cctld ft is limited to non-latin scripts. does the es-wg remit extend to latin script equivalence issues or just the scope of the idn cctld ft script set?

[12:00] jannik_skou: ie. would dot KÃ¶ln be allowed (with same registry say the city) to operate both .kÃ¶ln and .koeln (same registrants)?

[12:00]   Tina_Dam: ebw - not chnaging the requirement for idn cctlds via fast track being non-latin

[12:00]   Tina_Dam: ebw - that is a topic for the ccNSO PDP on IDN ccTLDS

[12:01] Eric_Brunne: of course not, the question is about the scope of the es-wg

[12:01]   Tina_Dam: jannik - depends on te german table for variants....but in general variants are NOT translations

[12:01]   Cary: Addressing problems within the alphabetic realm but ignoring the most widely shared alphabetic script is begging for trouble.

[12:01] Constantine: Is ICANN getting their economic studies from Alan Greensan?

[12:01]   Tina_Dam: ebw - that is not in the scope

[12:02] Eric_Brunne: so the es-wg scope is variants in non-latin scripts?

[12:02]   Tina_Dam: yes

[12:02] Doug_Brent: Eric, specifically related to strings that go through the fast track process

[12:02] Eric_Brunne: thanks

[12:02] Eric_Brunne: thanks doug

[12:02]   Tina_Dam: all the requirements in fast track still stands

[12:03] Jorge_Amodi: here we go

[12:03] Constantine: go .xxx

[12:03] Jorge_Amodi: ok how many whereas ?

[12:03]   Mike: here we go...

[12:03] Bruce_Tonin: Now for the motion responding on the xxx toic

[12:04] Bruce_Tonin: 8 whereas clauses

[12:04] Jorge_Amodi: >5 kaput

[12:04] Bruce_Tonin: 3 resolved clauses

[12:05] Jorge_Amodi: the board directs staff to inflate the ball that we will kick until the next meeting

[12:06] Joly_MacFie: lol

[12:06] Eric_Brunne: howdy joly

[12:06] Jorge_Amodi: the ball is called now "process options"

[12:06] Constantine: call the pope and all the religious organizations

[12:06] Constantine: get the party going

[12:06] Constantine: to comment

[12:07] Jorge_Amodi: and what do you want to celebrate ?

[12:07] Bruce_Tonin: Now for a resolution on Latin maerica meeting

[12:07] Joly_MacFie: moshpit

[12:07] Jorge_Amodi: Argentina !!!!

[12:07] Constantine: Diego Maradona!

[12:07]   Olga: Argentina !!!!

[12:08] Susan_Reyno: can anyone tell me what was the decission on EOI? I was late, sorry!

[12:08] Jorge_Amodi: kaput Susan not carried

[12:08] Michele_Ney: Susan - EOI = dead

[12:08] Constantine: EOI and vertical integration was shot in the eye

[12:08] Jorge_Amodi: dropped into the trash can

[12:08]   Mike: did they just push the vote of .xxx in Brussels?

[12:08] Jorge_Amodi: there is no vote

[12:08] Susan_Reyno: so no .xxx?

[12:09] Michele_Ney: Susan - no decision

[12:09] Bruce_Tonin: Actually Mike the vote on what process to use has been pushed to Brussels. It will not be a vote on the TLD itself

[12:09] Jorge_Amodi: process to review the options and public comment yada yada

[12:09] Simon_Donne: no vote again.. Jees

[12:09] Michele_Ney: and no decision on December meetiing either

[12:09] Susan_Reyno: and no EOI? I just want to know if they voted against EOI of ir they decided to follow the discussions regarding these issues

[12:09] Michele_Ney: Susan - EOI has been killed off completely

[12:09] Constantine: so will be posted for many days and the public will comment their religious views

[12:09] Jorge_Amodi: the ICM guys will have more luck if they get on line for the new gTLD program

[12:09] Susan_Reyno: thank you Michele!

[12:10] Constantine: ICM guys got ripped off by the ICANN process. Feel bad for them

[12:10] Bruce_Tonin: For remote participation - we really need to be able to post the resolutions in same way so that people can retrieve them to dispaly at reasonable resoloution on their screens

[12:10] Eric_Brunne: likewise thanks, i'd have gotten up earlier if i'd known when eoi was on the agenda

[12:11] Simon_Donne: The only thing the BBC news picked up on is note even voted on...very sad indeed

[12:11] Eric_Brunne: @bruce, agree, even posting the texts on the blog would allow near synchronous retreival

[12:11] Jorge_Amodi: news outlets don't have a minimal clue about what they are taling about

[12:11] Michele_Ney: Bruce - blog or somewhere on ICANN site - current method is a nightmare

[12:11] Jorge_Amodi: some of them name ICANN as the "oversight agency"

[12:11] Jorge_Amodi: what agency ?

[12:12] Bruce_Tonin: What do you think of hte video feed - does that add value?

[12:12] Joly_MacFie: yes

[12:12] Eric_Brunne: yes

[12:12] Jorge_Amodi: absolutely

[12:12]   Tina_Dam: bruce, yes

[12:12] Simon_Donne: Once again public interests are ignored and not discussed... Very sad indeed... So the ignore all the public comments made on xxx first application?

[12:12] Jorge_Amodi: but is not as great as when Tina is in front of the camera :-)

[12:13] Joly_MacFie: it should be available archived immediately afterwards too

[12:13] Susan_Reyno: yes, when are the transcripts published?

[12:13] Jorge_Amodi: within seven days Susan

[12:13]   Mike: i agree Simon

[12:14] Joly_MacFie: which issues simon?

[12:14] Jorge_Amodi: http://nbo.icann.org/remote-participation#transcripts-records

[12:15] Simon_Donne: Public have allready commented on .xxx The majority are for... Why so many delay tactics Joly?

[12:15] Joly_MacFie: consensus?

[12:15]   Nick_Wood: @ Bruce Eric's suggestion of publishing the text of the resolutions on the Blog is a good idea. Is that possible today?

[12:15] Jorge_Amodi: hmmm what majority ?

[12:16]   Mike: 1

[12:16] Susan_Reyno: If I could just read the transcripts on the Board discussions on new gTLDs and EOI...

[12:16] Simon_Donne: Public Majority...

[12:16] Simon_Donne: not corporate majority

[12:17] Jorge_Amodi: I never seen anything conclusive that defines all the stakeholders and how you establish a majority, your assumption is missleaded

[12:17] Eric_Brunne: argee with susan, some repriese of the issue someone?

[12:17] David_Golds: so Simon, I'm not commenting in favour or against .XXX, but how do you determine the public is in favour of .XXX? Where's the market research?

[12:17] Jorge_Amodi: there is no consensus at all on that subject, and if there is some is around the concept that ICANN has to follow it processes and rules intedependently on what the heck a particular

string represents

[12:18] Jorge_Amodi: many from civil society support the idea that ICANN screwed up and failed to follow process, but it does not mean directly that they support the creation of .XXX

[12:19] Joly_MacFie: on the EoI I'd imagine it was Avri's arguments, well expressed on the YouTube vid

[12:19] Joly_MacFie: http://www.isoc-ny.org/p2/?p=554

[12:19]   Mike: ICANN just screwed up.. period

[12:19] Jorge_Amodi: jaja yes Mike that's the sumamry

[12:19] Constantine: ICANN can never have consensus. This bottom up concensous is not entirely true. Always 2 sides of the equation.

[12:19] Joly_MacFie: There does not seem to be consensus even within the porn community

[12:20]   Mike: that is true joly

[12:20] Constantine: there might be concensous at the Board level but that does not mean community or public consensous

[12:20] Constantine: that is why the whole concept of ICANN is quite flawed. Someone always loses

[12:20] Joly_MacFie: what happeed was the GAC missed the boat, and then the USG pressured it to be dropped

[12:21] Joly_MacFie: right?

[12:21] Jorge_Amodi: and even if you get the Board to approve it , it does not mean the US DoC will authorize IANA to move on with the delegation

[12:21] Jorge_Amodi: this is very holistic politics

[12:21] Simon_Donne: As a member of the public I think its common sense to put adult entertainment under a recognisable domain.. Its really as simple as that...

[12:21] Jorge_Amodi: nope Simon

[12:21] Constantine: The Board has ZERO entrepreneurs. It is composed of lawyers and government or ex government officials. What do you expect? You will never get innovation with this composition

[12:21] Joly_MacFie: ah the majority speaks..

[12:22]   Mike: i work in the porn industry and there is both pro's and cons about .xxx

[12:22] David_Golds: so Simon, you are representative of the public and can speak on the public's behalf?

[12:22] Michele_Ney: Constantine - your statement is factually incorrect as usual

[12:22] Jorge_Amodi: tjhat will rise again issues that having that particular content agregated on a singular string will make it more easy for censorship

[12:22] Jorge_Amodi: there is always two sides of the coin

[12:22] Eric_Brunne: good. there is the application fee itself, and the rather signifiantly inflated performance and operating requirements which will prevent any future low-cap application as we specifically sought in the 2000 stld set

[12:22] Constantine: the whole concept of public is flawed. What does public interest mean? Let us get the real issues here

[12:23] Joly_MacFie: lol

[12:23] Constantine: hey Michele we can agree to disagree

[12:23] Michele_Ney: Constantine - not when you're making factually incorrect statements

[12:23] Michele_Ney: Constantine - you have an awful habit of doing that. Read the board member bios
[12:24] Eric_Brunne: bravo bruce!
[12:24] Jorge_Amodi: yes you are way off Constantine
[12:24] Michele_Ney: Constantine - I can agree to disgaree if it's a simple matter of opinion, but when you are making factually incorrect statements I cannot stand by and let you get away with it
[12:24] Jorge_Amodi: and being an entrepeneur does not guarantee anything
[12:24] Constantine: so answer this. Is there anything entrepreneurial about ICANN?
[12:25] Michele_Ney: Jorge - so true :)
[12:25] Michele_Ney: Constantine - don't change the subject
[12:25] Jorge_Amodi: many of the ones trying to cash out with the new gTLDs will probably go broke within 1-2 years
[12:25] Michele_Ney: you stated that there were no entrepreneurs on the board
[12:25] Michele_Ney: which is factually incorrect
[12:25] Susan_Reyno: guess what Raimundo is going to talk about
[12:25] Jorge_Amodi: earthquake in Chile Susan
[12:25] Susan_Reyno: yes, I knew
[12:26] Joly_MacFie: translation please Jorge
[12:26] Susan_Reyno: mucha fuerza y Ã¡nimos Raimundo, para todo Chile
[12:26] Jorge_Amodi: Cordiales abrazos Raimundo. AGUANTE CHILE
[12:26] Jorge_Amodi: there are several members of long time from the Internet community that lost relatives
[12:26] Jorge_Amodi: at the last earthquake in Chile
[12:26] Susan_Reyno: yes, he said, the sons of one friend
[12:26] Eric_Brunne: i was glad the aftld gave 15 minutes of time to reynold guerrier to report on haiti and the haitian network recovery.
[12:27] Susan_Reyno: and he thanked the support ot the interntaional community
[12:27] Jorge_Amodi: Patricio Poblete is one of the pioneers of internet in Chile
[12:27] Susan_Reyno: to the Internet community
[12:27] Joly_MacFie: yes erik I followed that on nanog - it was touch and go for a bit
[12:28] Eric_Brunne: i have his slideset if anyone wants it
[12:28] Jorge_Amodi: Eric has been doing an excellent job to coordinate people to provide support to Reynold and other friends from Haiti
[12:28] Jorge_Amodi: kudos Eric !!!
[12:28] Eric_Brunne: i wasn't expecting that.
[12:29] Eric_Brunne: thanks
[12:29] Joly_MacFie: yes
[12:29] Constantine: alright Michele, seems you are right. Just read bios. Jennings and Beckstrom
[12:30] Michele_Ney: Constantine - is that an apology?
[12:30] Constantine: for the facts
[12:30] Michele_Ney: Constantine - get your facts straight before you make broad sweeping statements

[12:30] Michele_Ney: I can respect someone having a different opinion to me

[12:30] Constantine: 2 have been entrepreneurs rest not

[12:31] Michele_Ney: I cannot respect someone who is too lazy to even check the basic facts

[12:31] Constantine: lazy? i suggest you use different language buddy

[12:31] Eric_Brunne: um, michele, constantine, is this trip necessary?

[12:31]   Mike: cant we all just get alone... let's sing a song together...LOL

[12:31] Michele_Ney: Sorry Eric

[12:32] mib_v1yg2x: constantine:Ramaraj is quite the entrepreneur

[12:32] Jorge_Amodi: wrapping up

[12:33] Eric_Brunne: was the eoi vote unanimous, ignoring abstentions?

[12:33] Michele_Ney: Eric - I think so

[12:33] Jorge_Amodi: Nick and crew thanks once again for setting up remote participacion, challenging task when the facilities are not the best

[12:33]   Kristina: yes, i believe so.

[12:33] Eric_Brunne: thanks

[12:33] Jorge_Amodi: for those in Kenya have a safe trip back home

[12:33] Bruce_Tonin: Gret job by the scribes that are scribing from the audio feed from Nairobi

[12:33] Bruce_Tonin: They are not in the room with us

[12:33] Eric_Brunne: that makes getting the names in the queue impossible, good job scribes!!!

[12:34] Gonzalo_Nav: Thank you very much

[12:34] Bruce_Tonin: The scribes are good at voice recognition though - some people speak more often than others :-)

[12:34] Eric_Brunne: hehe

[12:35]   Anders: yeah, they did an awesome job

[12:35] Bruce_Tonin: Helps to have an Aussie accent too

[12:35]   Mike: good bye everyone! need to get some zzz's it's 1:30am

[12:36] Jothan_Frak: 4:30 here in Reston

[12:36] Susan_Reyno: ok, I think I got lost again

[12:36] Susan_Reyno: where's teh meeting in December?

[12:36] Susan_Reyno: no location yet?

[12:36]   Tina_Dam: susan - not yet

[12:37] Susan_Reyno: oh

[12:37] Michele_Ney: Susan - somewhere - nobody knows where

[12:37] Susan_Reyno: ok ;) cheers

[12:37] Jorge_Amodi: north pole

[12:37] Susan_Reyno: I heard Cartagena In Colombia

[12:37]   Tina_Dam: latin america :)

[12:37] Susan_Reyno: or Buenos Aires

[12:38] jeff_neuman: We had a pleasant remote experience in the US as well

[12:38] Susan_Reyno: yes, thanks for the remote participatiion, it worked quite well

[12:38]   Tina_Dam: susan, i believe it was between the two, but not decided yet

[12:38]   Anders: or bogota ?

[10:38] Bruce_Tonin: I am waiting for the Antartica meeting. Remote participation may be difficult though

[12:39] Michele_Ney: Bruce - lol

[12:39] jeff_neuman: So I would like to thank ICANN staff and all the others that made participating remotely possible. This is how ICANN meetings should be run.

[12:39] Susan_Reyno: Well, if it's BogotÃ¡ we'll have a few months discussion wether to celebrate the meeting or not due to security reasons ;)

[12:39] jeff_neuman: physical attendance should never be mandated to get work done

[12:39] Joly_MacFie: @bruce good for the penguin constituency..

[12:40] Constantine: good job on the remote participation to ICANN staff

[12:40] Joly_MacFie: if you list you will make a mistake

[12:40] Bruce_Tonin: THe welcome from the penguin constituency can be a bit frosty though

[12:40] Eric_Brunne: agree. the a/c skills have improved, but it needs to be augmented by oob channels that are persistent as well as synchronous -- japbber / skype / ... and rss/atom feeds for text streaming

[12:40] Jorge_Amodi: hey don't we even get an honorable mention ? we are like the sidelines comentators of sport events

[12:41] Michele_Ney: Jorge :)

[12:41] Joly_MacFie: connect is very rigid

[12:41] Joly_MacFie: it would be nice to be able to pop out the windows

[12:41] Jorge_Amodi: yes it would be great if you can configure the windows as you kike

[12:41] Jorge_Amodi: but I'm amazed how great it works on the ipod touch

[12:42] Jorge_Amodi: today video on the ipod is much much better than yesterday

[12:42] Joly_MacFie: despite jobs disdain for flash!

[12:42] Michele_Ney: pity it doesn't use full screen

[12:42] Jorge_Amodi: it uses flash

[12:42] Eric_Brunne: i don't hear rita clapping

[12:42] Siranush_Va: thanks to the Board for such an interesting meeting and thanks to ICANN staff for remote participation possibility

[12:42] Michele_Ney: remote thingy was pretty good

[12:42] Jorge_Amodi: it is the first application that adobe publishes using the new packaging tool

[12:42] Bruce_Tonin: Time for a group hug

[12:42] Susan_Reyno: thank you Tina and MIchele for the updates, thank you all!

[12:43] Susan_Reyno: hope to see you in Brussels

[12:43] Michele_Ney: "ride you hard" - if he said that over here the room would explode :)

[12:43] Jorge_Amodi: group hug !!! hang onto your wallets

[12:43] Jorge_Amodi: have a safe trip

[12:43] Jorge_Amodi: bye bye

[12:43]   Tina_Dam: yep, see you in brussels

[12:44]   Anders: bye

[12:44] Susan_Reyno: yes, safe trip, bye

[12:44] Jothan_Frak: thank you for remote participation.

[12:44] Jothan_Frak: good work organizers

[12:44] Michele_Ney: 'right back to my day job

[12:44] Michele_Ney: cya all on conf calls and in Brusells

[12:44] Michele_Ney: Brussels

[12:45] Joly_MacFie: bye all