## **CONTENT OF CHAT – NBO Meeting -**Affirmation of Commitments Reviews

Date: Monday, 08 March 2010 - 14:00 - 15:00 Room: Tsavo A

Meeting Leaders : Marco Lorenzoni Director, Organizational Reviews

http://nbo.icann.org/node/8947

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Welcome everyone who is online (like me)

nashton: Don't know what was happening there Michele

nashton: I think I might have done somethign that will stop it

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: has the issue of whether or not the nomcom makes appointments been

resolved, and if so, what is the resolution of the question?

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: that was a question for nick/staff

Marco: do you want to ask it at the meeting?

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: yes

jeff: Test - ignore

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: the idenfification in the application is self-identification, i put in an application and checked the gnso box -- i could have checked any box

Kristina\_Rosette10809: Where, other than the ICANN website, was the call for Volunteers published? I've been asked and didn't know the answer. Thanks.

jeff: Is there a list of all of those that volunteered?

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: that's where i saw it, i don't think i saw it on any industry tracking site, or received it via constituency mail

Ron\_2: I saw it in a posting on the BC list that came from the ICANN secretariat (Glenn)

Jothan\_Frakes: the last speaker did not identify themselves was that Steve Del Bianco?

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: yes.

Jothan\_Frakes: Thanks Eric

Kristina\_Rosette10809: Thanks very much for answering my question. I suggest that, for the other review teams, ICANN publish the call in other media that are likely to reach potential volunteers with expertise relevant to those RTs. It may be the case that the media sources being used for the new gTLD communications plan could be used for this purpose, too.

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Remote participants: you can submit a question or comment to be read out to the meeting from the 'Ask Question' link next to this chat window, simply click the title and then choose 'Browse to"

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: ah, so that's what the micro text is.

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: You can also find the URL to submit questions on the agenda page

for this meeting

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Right now, we have zero comments or questions to be read from any remote participant

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: And I cannot believe any group of ICANN participants would ever have zero comments or questions on this topic...

Michele\_Neylon: ROFL

Michele\_Neylon: Nick - forcing a window to open on my end at beginning of session = bad user experience

Michele\_Neylon: it's like Adobe has caputred my browser

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: Not sure why that happened, tbh....

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: The only thing I can think is that I saw the URL in the 'browse to' box Michele\_Neylon: it's happended to me twice - and I definitely didn't click on the link

Nick\_Ashton-Hart19635199310990: perhaps somehow the keyboard buffer was pressing the button,

could have been any host or presenter

nashton: sorry

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: i know that the public comments, steve's and mine, have been read, so i'm not repeating them now. i do however want to remind both peter and janis that when the topic of "public interest" came up, in the new format discussion at paris, two very well known members of the community attempted to define what each ment by "public interest". this is not a point on which we can assume consensus.

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: thank you for your response peter.

Jothan\_Frakes: we see you nick

Jothan\_Frakes: ok now we don't

Eric\_Brunner-Williams: to answer the how-to-staff question, i hope that the accountability and transparancy review has access to icann counsel and deputy counsel, not as staff but as resourses Eric\_Brunner-Williams: thank you peter and janis

nashton: Sorry about that, was the victim of personal technical incompetence